Original article / Araştırma

Validation of Turkish Version of Me and My Feelings Scale on Children and Adolescents

Müjen İLNEM¹

ABSTRACT

Objective: Me and My Feelings Scale (M&MF) is a brief self-reported measure scale developed for evaluating mental health and well-being of children and adolescents. M&MF self-evaluating scale was recently validated consisting of 16 items; 10 items of emotional difficulties and six items of behavioral difficulties. The aim of the current study was to evaluate validity and reliability of the scores of M&MF scale in Turkish children and adolescents. Methods: Eight hundred and twenty children and adolescents aged between 9 and 17 were enrolled in the study. SPSS-17.0 and AMOS-24.0 programs were used for statistical analysis. Results: The research sample was concluded to be sufficient for structural equation method. Content validity of the scale was revealed to be applicable in Turkish population using Lawshe analysis. The research had a high reliability analysis with a high Cronbach's alpha and significant Guttman split-half coefficient. Cronbach's alpha value was 0.925, Guttman Split-Half value was 0.413 and KMO value was 0.934. As a result of the analysis, the mean emotional score was 18.40±4.10, the mean behavioral score was 4.86±4.24 and the total scale score was 23.26±6.40. Behavioral difficulties had a high score from threshold value indicating the sensitivity of Turkish population compared with behavioral feature. Discussion: In conclusion, M&MF is a valid and reliable self-report scale for Turkish children and adolescents in defining behavioral and emotional difficulties and discriminating mental health problems between community and clinic samples. Clinical outcomes of M&MF can be recognized and treated in advance cost-effectively by the professionals. (Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry 2020; 21(Suppl.1):31-38)

Keywords: mental health, Me and My Feelings Scale, children, adolescents

Çocuk ve Ergenlerde Ben ve Duygularım Ölçeği Türkçe uyarlamasının geçerliliği

ÖΖ

Amaç: Ben ve Duygularım Ölçeği çocuk ve ergenlerde mental sağlık ve 'kendini iyi hissetme'yi değerlendirmek için geliştirilmiş kısa bir öz bildirim ölçeğidir. Bu ölçek, 10 maddesi emosyonel güçlükler, altı maddesi davranışsal sorunlar olmak üzere toplam 16 maddeden oluşan, geçerliliği onaylanmış bir ölçektir. Çalışmamızın amacı, Türk çocuk ve ergenlerinde Ben ve Duygularım Ölçeğinin geçerlilik ve güvenilirliğini değerlendirmektir. **Yöntem:** Çalışmaya 9-17 yaşları arasındaki 820 çocuk ve ergen alınmıştır. İstatistiksel analiz için SPSS-17.0 ve AMOS-24.0 programları kullanılmıştır. **Bulgular:** Araştırma örnekleminin yapısal eşitleme yöntemi için yeterli olduğu değerlendirilmiştir. Ölçek içeriğinin geçerliliği, Lawshe analizi kullanılarak, Türk popülasyonunda uygulanabilir olduğunu göstermektedir. Araştırma, yüksek Cronbach alfa ve anlamlı Guttman yarıya bölme katsayısı ile yüksek güvenilirlik elde etmiştir. Cronbach alfa değeri 0.925, Guttman Split-Half değeri 0.413 ve KMO değeri 0.934 çıkmıştır. Analiz sonucunda duygusal puan ortalaması 18.40±4.10, davranışsal puan ortalaması 4.86±4.24 ve toplam ölçek puanı 23.26±6.40 bulunmuştur. Davranışsal özellik açısından karşılaştırıldığında, davranışsal sorunlarda Türk popülasyonunun

E-mail: milnem@gelisim.edu.tr

Received: July, 08th 2019, Accepted: September, 03rd 2019, doi: 10.5455/apd.55618

¹ Psychology Department, Faculty of Economics, Administrative and Social Sciences, İstanbul Gelişim University, İstanbul, Turkey **Correspondence address** / Yazışma adresi:

Assist. Prof. Dr. Müjen İLNEM, Psychology Department, Faculty of Economics, Administrative and Social Sciences, İstanbul Gelişim University, Avcılar/İstanbul, Turkey

32 Validation of Turkish Version of Me and My Feelings Scale on children and adolescents

duyarlılığını gösteren, eşikten daha yüksek puan elde edilmiştir. **Tartışma:** Sonuç olarak Ben ve Duygularım Ölçeği toplum ve klinik örneklem arasındaki davranışsal ve emosyonel sorunları tanımlama ve mental sağlık sorunlarını ayırt etmede, Türk çocuk ve ergenler için geçerli ve güvenilir bir öz bildirim ölçeğidir. Ben ve Duygularım Ölçeğinin klinik sonuçları, profesyoneller tarafından etkili olarak kabul edilip kullanılabilir. **(Anadolu Psikiyatri Derg 2020; 21(Ek sayı.1):31-38)**

Anahtar sözcükler: Mental sağlık, Ben ve Duygularım Ölçeği, çocuklar, ergenler

INTRODUCTION

Health was defined by World Health Organization (WHO) as 'a state of complete physical, mental and social well-being and not merely the absence of disease or infirmity' in 1948.^{1,2} Mental health problems constitute a crucial burden to individuals and population across the world, being responsible for almost 13% of global burden of mental disorders. Approximately 80% of population with mental health problems accommodate in countries with low and middle-income, establishing a total burden of disease as 10% in these countries.³ It was documented that global burden of mental health problems augmented with a rate of 37,6% from 1990 to 2010.4 Besides, WHO revealed that 35.5-50.3% of the population with serious mental health problems in developed countries and 76.3-84.4% in lessdeveloped countries have never been consulted by a professional.⁵ Untreated and misdiagnosed mental health problems may conclude with serious outcomes like suicides, which have increased by 60% worldwide in the last 45 years as reported by WHO. Suicide is reported as a cause of death in the second rank in the age group of 10-24 years.³ Mental health problems may result in many consequences that would affect both daily life and quality of life of the individuals. These problems also have relationship with public health field, as they have negative effects on both the individuals and the public surrounding the individuals.5-8 Recent researches have mostly focused on the physical and mental health simultaneously for the last decade in order to elucidate their effects between each other.9

Early diagnosis of mental health problems was accepted to be important by many researches in order to prevent the progression of psychological and psychiatric disorders and to shorten the treatment and rehabilitation period.¹⁰⁻¹² Diagnosis of a mental health problem even psychological or psychiatric has many effects on cognitive, emotional, social and practical issues.² On the other hand, the diagnosis of mental health problems is not always as easy as in other medical fields, and the duration of the treatment varies from individual to individual.¹³ There may be delays in treatment and rehabilitation period due to high costs of treatment with long time periods and lack of qualified labor and economic opportunities.^{2,14-17} Systemic school-based, voluntary and universal screening programs and scales for evaluating mental health are reported as effective, safe, reliable and low-cost.^{13,18}

Mental health problems have been revealed to possess a various range of negative impacts over school performance.¹⁸ A variety of measuring scales have been developed to solve these problems effectively and at least to diagnose psychiatric disorders in the symptomatic phase. When these measuring scales are being criticized, their specialty of addressing different populations, being easy to apply and being practiced by all are prominent.9,19-21 Me and My School Measure (M&MS) was scheduled by Deighton et al. and Wolpert et al. in 2010 to catch general mental health and to screen more problematic symptoms within a wide age range for children (eight years and above).^{22,23} The reason why these researches develop M&MS was the absence of a brief and suitable self-report measure for children ≥8 years. M&MS comprised of items: 10 items related with emotional difficulties and 6 items related with behavioral difficulties. The responses of children for each item were scaled as never with '0', sometimes with '1' and always with '2' in relation with their agreement for each item. Total score of emotional difficulties and behavioral difficulties has a range between 0-20 and 0-12, respectively. Another research conducted by Patalay et al. compared paper and computer formats of M&MS and revealed that children and adolescents had lower scale scores on paper formats.24

M&MS was renamed by Deighton et al. as Me and My Feelings Scale (M&MF) in 2013 to evaluate the development and initial validation of the scale and to reveal its relevance and applicability of different populations.²⁵ M&MF is a brief selfreported scale for mental health and well-being for children comprises of 10 items related with emotional difficulties scale and six items related with behavioral difficulties scale. The participants respond to each item within a range of 0-2 scale; '0' indicating never, '1' indicating some -

Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry 2020; 21(Suppl.1):31-38

times and '2' indicating always. The sum of both emotional difficulties scale and behavioral difficulties scale is calculated from the data collected from each item. The threshold values for emotional difficulties and behavioral difficulties are 10 and 6, expressing the probability of mental health problems. M&MF which has been used effectively for field survey in many languages in the world with its validity and reliability.²⁶⁻²⁸

In literature, validity is examined into four types, as face validity, content validity, criterion-related validity and construct validity.²⁹ Concurrency, convergence, discriminate and prediction models are used in construct validity.30 In addition, some new approaches such as frame-of reference and within-person inconsistency may be used for relationship between reliability and validity.³¹ Confirmatory validation methods are used for validity of a pre-validated scale.³¹⁻³⁵ Principle component analysis, structural equation model and Lawshe method are widely used for confirmatory validation of a developed scale.³⁶⁻³⁸ As we could reach literature, there have been no studies related with M&MF and its validation in Turkey. Thus, the aim of the study was to ensure the validity and reliability of the scores of M&MF and to validate it as a mental health and wellbeing measuring scale in Turkish children and adolescents.

METHODS

Study participants

The study was designed in a descriptive scanning model, in which the responses to the questions related with the existing situation are converted to qualitative and/or quantitative data.³¹ The study was planned to test the validity and reliability of responses of children and adolescents in M&MF.

The study was planned in Şişli district in İstanbul to investigate whether there was a statistically significant difference in the scale dimensions according to the demographic characteristics of the students in schools managed by the Ministry of National Education in Şişli district of Istanbul. Şişli is a cosmopolite district that projects the demographic, socioeconomic and cultural status of Turkey, because there have been immigrations from all cities in Turkey constituting a mosaic sample.

The total number of children and adolescents enrolled in the study was 820 with 491 females and 329 males. In the research, 206 secondary school and 614 high school students were subjected. 962 children and adolescents were evaluated for the inclusion criteria and 820 out of 962 children and adolescents were included in the study. The including criteria for participants were being a volunteer to participate in the research, being between 9 and 17 years of age during the research, not having a disability for responding to research questions and not having a diagnosed psychiatric disorder. Individuals, aged out of 9 and 17 range, diagnosed with a psychiatric disorder (10 children and adolescents diagnosed with hyperactivity), who had oppositional defiant disorder with their teachers (n=16), who did not accept being a volunteer to participate in the survey (20 families and 15 individuals) and who answered the scale inaccurately (n=81) were excluded from the study.

Data collection

The protocol was approved by the local ethics committee (İstanbul Training and Research Hospital, Ethics Committee; 26.01.2018; 1174). In the study, the required permissions were obtained from the related institutions to carry out M&MF in relevant schools, and then the sample was selected randomly based on the appropriate sampling method. The parents of the children and adolescents were informed of M&MF via a meeting supervised by guidance teachers. Oral consents for M&MF to be scheduled for children and adolescents were approved from the parents whether their children would participate M&MF. The parents gave approval for M&MF revealing that M&MF would positively contribute to their children. Afterwards, M&MF was conducted in classroom-based sessions at the appropriate time intervals, with the permission of the school administration and the supervision of the guidance teachers. All individuals included in the study received information related with the study and M&MF.

Me and My Feelings (M&MF) Scale

M&MF scale is a 16-item self-report measure consisting of total short 16-items; 10-items of emotional difficulties scale and 6-items of behavioral difficulties scale. Individuals reply to each item by selecting one of three alternatives: never expressed with '0', sometimes expressed with '1', always expressed with '2'. Total score of scales are calculated as the sum of item scores with threshold values 10 for the emotional difficulties and six for the behavioral difficulties. Higher scores of each set of difficulties indicate the probability of mental health problems. Children and adolescents response to each item related with their personal agreement to that item.

Statistical analysis

After the collection of data in the study, all the data were transferred to the SPSS 17.0 for Windows and AMOS 24.0 package programs for statistical analysis. In the analysis process, scale item means and factor means were described with mean and standard deviation (mean±SD). Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy (KMO) level was used to define sample size adequacy.

Lawshe content validity methods^{36,39} and structural equation method (SEM) was performed at AMOS for confirmatory validity of the scale. SEM method was preferred for accruing the validation of the scale. Although principle component analysis and factor analysis are used for prevalidated scale validation for different samples, SEM is a widely used preferable method for confirmatory analysis.³¹⁻³⁵ Content validity rates (CVR) in Lawshe Analysis means that confirmatory validation of the item, which is proportion of number of participants expressing the item, is required to half of participants. CVR has values within a range of 0-1, in which high CVR value means high validity. In the research, expert reviews were conducted on 11 field experts (including six field experts and five academicians), each items by panel were asked for their evaluation. Guttman split-half and Cronbach's alpha coefficients were used for reliability.40 RMSEA, CMIN/DF, AGFI and CFI values were calculated and interpreted based on the data in literature.

RESULTS

The minimum and maximum age of children and adolescents were respectively 9 and 17 with a mean of 14.66±0.88. Female participants had 15.15±2.27 age mean, while male participants had 13.93±3.10 mean ages. Age mean of secondary school students was 10.58±1.94 and age mean of high school students were 16.03±1.01.

Lawshe analysis results and CVR of items in the scale were given in the Table 1. In reliability analysis, Cronbach's alpha was found 0.925 for all scale items. Guttman split-half coefficient was 0.413 with a statistically significant p value. Structural equation analysis results of the scale for validity were given in the Table 2. Total score for emotional difficulties was found to be 18.40±4.10, whereas total score for behavioral difficulties was 4.86±4.24 in the scale. Total scale score was found to be 23.26±6.40 in the whole scale. These results indicated that factor structure of the scale was in accordance with

original scale (RMSEA: 0.137; CMIN/DF: 16.470; AGFI: 0.738; CFI: 0.943). Path constants and confirmatory structural equation analysis results were given in Table 3 and Scheme 1. Bartlett's test results expressed that the validity analysis is significant (p<0.05). Scale variance level showed that the scale explained 88.39% of total variance in cumulative. KMO level was 0.934 indicating that sample of the

 Table 1. Lawshe analysis results and content validity rates (CVR) of items in the scale

Item	CVR
I feel lonely	0.82
I cry a lot	1.00
I am unhappy	0.82
Nobody likes me	1.00
I worry a lot	1.00
I have problems sleeping	1.00
I wake up in the night	1.00
I am shy	0.82
I feel scared	1.00
I worry when I am at school	1.00
I get very angry	1.00
I lose my temper	1.00
I hit out when I am angry	0.82
I do things to hurt people	0.82
I am calm	1.00
I break things on purpose	0.82

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for items in the scale

	n	MinMax.	Mean±SD
I-1 I-2 I-3 I-4 I-5 I-6 I-7 I-8 I-9 I-10	820 820 820 820 820 820 820 820 820 820	0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00	1.76 ± 0.58 1.85 ± 0.44 1.85 ± 0.44 1.85 ± 0.44 1.84 ± 0.45 1.85 ± 0.44 1.86 ± 0.43 1.85 ± 0.44 1.85 ± 0.44 1.85 ± 0.44
Emotional	820	0.00-20.00	18.40±4.10
I-11 I-12 I-13 I-14 I-15 I-16 Behavioral	820 820 820 820 820 820 820 820	0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-2.00 0.00-12.00	$\begin{array}{c} 0.78 \pm 0.74 \\ 0.79 \pm 0.75 \\ 0.78 \pm 0.74 \\ 0.87 \pm 0.77 \\ 0.81 \pm 0.75 \\ 0.83 \pm 0.75 \\ 4.86 \pm 4.24 \end{array}$
Total	820	0.00-32.00	23.26±6.40

Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry 2020; 21(Suppl.1):31-38



Scheme 1. Path constants and confirmatory structural equation analysis results

 Table 3. Confirmatory factor analysis results for each item

			Estimate	S.E.	C.R.
l-10	<	Emotionala	1.000	0.010	70 4 5 4
I-9 I-8	<	Emotionala	1.002	0.013	79.151 78.656
I-7 I-6	< <	Emotionala Emotionala	1.003 1.007	0.010 0.012	105.440 82.275
I-5 I₋4	<	Emotionala Emotionala	1.004	0.015	67.090 97.025
I-4 I-3	<	Emotionala	1.000	0.013	77.745
I-2 I-1	< <	Emotionala Emotionala	1.000 0.526	0.013 0.045	77.754 11.820
I-11	<	Behaviourala	1.000		
I-12 I-13	< <	Behaviourala Behaviourala	0.992 0.983	0.011 0.011	86.620 87.443
I-14 I-15	< <	Behaviourala Behaviourala	0.905 0.952	0.020 0.015	46.121 62 991
I-16	<	Behaviourala	0.888	0.019	46.479

study was sufficient. Original structure of the scale was obtained from explanatory factor analysis (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

Personal self-reports achieved by children and adolescents supply a feasible and practical

method for monitoring emotional and behavioral properties and distress within childhood and adolescence era.⁴¹ There have been some researches on validation of self-evaluating scales

 Table 4. Explanatory factor analysis results for factor loadings

	Compo	Component		
	Emotional	Behavioral		
I-7	0.992			
I-4	0.988			
I-6	0.976			
I-9	0.971			
I-8	0.969			
I-10	0.968			
I-3	0.968			
I-2	0.965			
I-5	0.955			
I-1	0.389			
I-13		0.971		
I-11		0.969		
I-12		0.962		
I-15		0.939		
I-14		0.900		
I-16		0.894		
KMO: 0.93 Bartlett's t Total varia	34 est of sphericity, p<(ance explained: %88	0.001 .392		

scales on psychiatric issues in literature.^{25,42-44}

M&MF was developed and validated by Deighton et al. as a self-report scale related with wellbeing and mental health for children and adolescents in 2012.25 They reported that M&MF had the potential for screening and detection of mental health and well-being in children and adolescents compared with Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire.²⁵ The study in which paper and computer format of M&MS was compared reported that there were lower scale scores on paper formats for children and adolescents. However, this difference was not explained by item-level probabilities within statistical analysis.²⁴ Patalay et al. conducted a research related with the discrimination of M&MS between highrisk and low-risk samples and clinic validation of M&MS.²⁷ Their findings about M&MS were that M&MS filled the gap for a brief self-report scale for mental health problems and that M&MS could be used in both community and clinical settings with its strong reliability and validity values.²⁷

In our research, Lawshe method results showed that all items in the scale had higher CVR rates.³⁹ According to Lawshe content validity analysis results, all items in the scale had content validity with a factor weight over 0.616 showing that content validity of the scale is applicable in Turkish population.²⁹ In our research, scale variance rate was very high and found to be 88.39%. In our sample, Total score of emotional difficulties was 18.40±4.10 indicating that clinically importance level in our population.²⁷ On the other hand, total score of behavioral difficulties was 4.86±4.24. indicating that under borderline or normal levels. It may be argued that Turkish population is more sensitive than behavioral feature. Table 3 shows that CR values of SEM analysis and subscales of the M&MF was strongly appropriate for Turkish population. KMO and Bartlett's test of sphericity showed that sampling of the research is

sufficient for principle component analysis.

Conclusion

M&MF scale was conducted as a community sample comprised of 820 children and adolescents (491 females and 329 males) to validate M&MF in Turkish population. Behavioral difficulties with a high score from threshold value in the current study might express that Turkish population is more sensitive than behavioral feature. The results of the current study revealed that M&MF is a valid and reliable self-reported measuring scale for Turkish children and adolescents.

It may be used to diagnose clinic samples in schools with simple application methods depending on the fact that the scale is used to discriminate between clinic and community samples. In addition, the scale may be used to define prevalence and incidence of behavioral and emotional symptoms in Turkish adolescent and children population in order to understand effect of other psychology related problems.

M&MF Scale is a modern self-reported measure evaluated by professionals that may solve clinical symptoms in advance and may reduce financial expenses cost-effectively. Psychologic evaluation promotes community integration and improves the life quality of individuals.

Further researches can be established within community samples correlated with clinic samples and this M&MF can be compared with other measuring scales. M&MF can be also performed in young individuals aged between 17 and 21.

Limitation

The current study was not held within a multicentric purpose, as Şişli district is a cosmopolite urban area resembling the whole population in Turkey.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization (WHO), 1948. Preamble to the Constitution of the World Health Organization as Adopted by the International Health Conference, New York, 1922 June, 1946. Signed on 22 July 1946 by the representatives of 61 States (Official Records of the World Health Organization, No.2, p. 100) and entered into force on 7 April 1948.
- Parker G, Smith IG, Paterson A, Romano M, Hadzi-Pavlovic D, Ricciardi T. How well does a wellbeing measure predict psychiatric 'caseness'

as well as suicide risk and self-harm in adolescents? Psychiatry Res 2018; 268:323-327.

- Thyloth M, Singh H, Subramanian V. Increasing burden of mental illnesses across the globe: Current status. Indian J Soc Psychiatry 2016; 32:254-256.
- Whiteford HA, Degenhardt L, Rehm J, Baxter AJ, Ferrari AJ, Erskine HE, et al. Global burden of disease attributable to mental and substance use disorders: Findings from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2013; 382(9904):1575-1586.

Anatolian Journal of Psychiatry 2020; 21(Suppl.1):31-38

- Xu X, Li XM, Xu D and Wang W. Psychiatric and mental health nursing in China: past, present and future. Arch Psychiatr Nurs 2017; 31(5):470-476.
- Arabaci LB, Dikec G, Buyukbayram A, Uzunoglu G, Ozan E. Traumatic growth and psychological resilience status of female victims of violence inpatients in a district psychiatric hospital. Arch Psychiatr Nurs 2018; 32(4):568-573.
- Nishi D, Susukida R, Usada K, Mojtabai R, Yamanouchi Y. Trends in the prevalence of psychological distress and the use of mental health services from 2007 to 2016 in Japan. J Affect Disord 2018; 239:208-213.
- 8. Zhong S, Yang L, Toloo S, Wang Z, Tong S, Sun X, et al. The long-term physical and psychological health impacts of flooding: a systematic mapping. Sci Total Environ 2018; 626:165-194.
- 9. Erving CL. Physical-psychiatric comorbidity: Implications for health measurement and the hispanic epidemiological paradox. Soc Sci Res 2017; 64:197-213.
- Chapman SA, Phoenix BJ, Hahn TE, Strod DC. Utilization and economic contribution of psychiatric mental health nurse practitioners in public behavioural health services. Am J Prev Med 2018; 54(6S3):243-249.
- Brugha TS, Meltzer H. Measurement of psychiatric and psychological disorders and outcomes in populations. SR Quah (Ed.), International Encyclopedia of Public Health, second ed., Oxford: Elsevier, 2017, pp.594-603.
- Shaner R, Thompson KS, Braslow J, Ragins M, Parks JJ 3rd, Vaccaro JV. How health reform is recasting public psychiatry. Psychiatr Clin North Am 2015; 38(3):543-557.
- Levitt JM, Saka N, Romanelli LH, Hoagwood K. Early identification of mental health problems in schools: the status of instrumentation. J Sch Psychol 2007; 45(2):163-191.
- Karalunas S. Psychiatric diagnosis revisited: from DSM to clinical case formulation. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry 2018; 57(3):212-213.
- O'Connor C, Kadianaki I, Maunder K, McNicholas F. How does psychiatric diagnosis affect young people's self-concept and social identity? A systematic review and synthesis of the qualitative literature. Soc Sci Med 2018; 212:94-119.
- Coid JW, Yang M, Ullrich S, Hickey N, Kahtan N, Freestone M. Psychiatric diagnosis and differential risks of offending following discharge. Int J Law Psychiatry 2015; 38:68-74.
- 17. Regier DA, Kuhl EA, Narrow WE, Kupfer DJ. Research planning for the future of psychiatric diagnosis. Eur Psychiatry 2012; 27(7):553-556.
- Hulme MJ, Cornish AM. Behavioural improvements and emotional gains for students attending an Australian school for specific purposes. Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry 2014; 20(4):677-688.

- Hudson A, Youha SA, Samargandi OA, Paletz J. Pre-existing psychiatric disorder in the burn patient is associated with worse outcomes. Burns 2017; 43(5):973-982.
- 20. Terwee CB, Bot SDM, de Boer MR, van der Windt DA, Knol DL, Dekker J, et al. Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. J Clin Epidemiol 2007; 60:34-42.
- Chorpita BF, Reise S, Weisz JR, Grubbs K, Becker KD, Krull JL. Evaluation of the brief problem checklist: child and caregiver interviews to measure clinical progress. J Consult Clin Psychol 2010; 78(4):526-536.
- 22. Deighton J, Barmby P, Tymms P, Croudace T, Wolpert M. A review of impact and implementation issues in relation to Kidscreen and relevant other measures, 2010. [cited 2019 June 10]. Available from: https://www.ucl.ac.uk/evidence-basedpractice-unit/sites/evidence-based-practiceunit/files/pub_and_resources_project_reports_m e_and_my_school.pdf
- 23. Wolpert M, Fonagy P, Frederickson N. Me and My School: Preliminary Findings from the first year of the National Evaluation of Targeted Mental Health in Schools (2008-2009), 2010. [cited 2019 June 10]. Available from: https://www.ucl.ac.uk/evidence-based-practiceunit/sites/evidence-based-practiceunit/files/pub_and_resources_project_reports_m e_and_my_school.pdf
- Patalay P, Deighton J, Fonagy P, Wolpert M. Equivalence of paper and computer formats of a child self-report mental health measure. Eur J Psychol Assess 2014; 31(1):54-61.
- Deighton J, Tymms P, Vostanis P, Belsky J, Fonagy P, Brown A, et al. The development of a schoolbased measure of child mental health. J Psychoeduc Assess 2013; 31:247-257.
- Lereya ST, Humphrey N, Patalay P, Wolpert M, Böhnke JR, Macdougall A, et al. The student resilience survey: psychometric validation and associations with mental health. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health 2016; 10(44):1-15.
- 27. Patalay P, Deighton J, Fonagy P, Vostanis P, Wolpert M. Clinical validity of the Me and My School questionnaire: a self-report mental health measure for children and adolescents. Child Adolesc Psychiatry Ment Health 2014; 8(17):1-7.
- Law D, Wolpert M. Guide to using outcomes and feedback tools with children, young people and families, Formally known as COOP Document. Press Camhs, CORC Ltd., 2014. [cited 2019 May 21]. Avaliable from: https://www.corc.uk.net/ media/1182/201404guide_to_using_outcomes_ measures_and_feedback_tools.pdf
- 29. Mohajan H. Two criteria for good measurements in research: Validity and reliability. Annals of Spiru Haret University 2017; 17(4):56-82.

38 Validation of Turkish Version of Me and My Feelings Scale on children and adolescents

- Drost EA. Validity and reliability in social science research. ERP 2011; 38(1):105-124.
- Reddock CM, Biderman MD and Nguyen NT. The relationship of reliability and validity of personality tests to frame-of-reference instructions and withinperson inconsistency. Int J Select Assess 2011; 19(2):119-131.
- 32. Karasar N. Scientific Research Methods. Ankara: Nobel Medical Publishing House, fifteenth ed., 2015.
- 33. Arbuckle JL. Amos 18.0 User's Guide. USA: Amos Development Corporation, 2010.
- Lawson-Body A, Willoughby L, Logossah K. Developing an Instrument for Measuring E-Commerce Dimensions, J Comput Inform Syst 2010; 51(2):2-13.
- 35. Lin CY, Hwang JS, Wang WC, Lai WW, Su WC, Wu TY, et al. Psychometric evaluation of the WHOQOL-BREF, Taiwan version, across five kinds of Taiwanese cancer survivors: Rasch analysis and confirmatory factor analysis. J Formos Med Assoc 2019; 118(1-Pt2):215-222.
- Gilbert GE, Prion S. Making sense of methods and measurement: Lawshe's content validity index. Clin Simul Nurs 2016; 12(12):530-531.
- Almanasreh E, Moles R, Chen TF. Evaluation of methods used for estimating content validity. Res Social Adm Pharm 2019; 15(2):214-221.

- Alumran A, Hou XY, Hurst C. Validity and reliability of instruments designed to measure factors influencing the overuse of antibiotics. J Infect Public Health 2012; 5(3):221-232.
- Lawshe C. "A quantative approach to content validity". Personnel Psychology 1975; 28(4):563-575.
- Cronbach IJ. Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. Psychometrika 1951; 16:297-334.
- Riley AW. Evidence that school-age children can self-report on their health. Ambulatory Pediatrics 2004; 4(4 Suppl.):371-376.
- Evers AT, Verboon P, Klaeijsen A. The development and validation of a scale measuring teacher autonomous behaviour. BERJ 2017; 43(4):805-821.
- Veiga FH. Assessing student engagement in school: development and validation of a fourdimensional scale. Procedia Soc Behav Sci 2016; 217:813-819.
- 44. Sharp C, Goodyer IM, Croudace TJ. The short mood and feelings questionnaire (SMFQ): a unidimensional item response theory and categorical data factor analysis of self-report ratings from a community sample of 7-through 11-year-old children. J Abnorm Child Psychol 2006; 34(3):379-391.